Remarks

Claims 1-6 and 8-20 are pending. Claims 15-20 have been allowed. Claims 1-14 have been rejected, however Claims 7 and 8 were deemed allowable pending minor corrections. Clams 1 and 10 have been amended to incorporate (corrected) subject matter from Claim 7, and Claim 7 has been cancelled. Claims 8 has been amended to depend from Claim 1. In view of the amendments, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner's thoughtful reconsideration.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner has rejected Claims 7 and 8 under §112. Specifically, the Examiner found the term "type" unclear as used in Claim 7. Otherwise, the Examiner deemed Claims 7 and 8 patentable. Claim 7 depended from Claim 1 and Claim 8 depended from Claim 7. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate Claim 7 with further elaboration on the term "type." Claim 8 has been amended to depend from Claim 1. Claim 10 has also been amended to incorporate Claim 7..

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6 and 9-14 citing various references. The Examiner noted the allowability of Claim 7. In reliance on the Examiner's position, Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to incorporate subject matter from Claim 7. As such Claims 1 and 10 are patentable as are Claims 2-6, 8, and 9 which depend from Claim 1 and Claims 11-14 which depend from Claim 10.

S/N: 10/040,553 Case: 10007792-1 Response to Office Action Page 7

Conclusion

The Applicants respectfully contend that the subject application is in a condition for allowance. Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, Travis J. Parry

By /Jack H. McKinney/ Jack H. McKinney Reg. No. 45,685

August 17, 2007

S/N: 10/040,553 Case: 10007792-1 Response to Office Action Page 8